



ESTSS expert recommendations for the psychological treatment of PTSD with comorbid substance use disorder (SUD)

Introduction

PTSD and substance use disorder (SUD) frequently co-occur, and PTSD-SUD comorbidity presents many clinical challenges for treating clinicians. Individuals with PTSD-SUD present with a more severe clinical profile than either disorder alone, tend to have poorer functioning and wellbeing, and poorer treatment outcomes. Clinicians view this comorbidity to be substantially more difficult to treat than the two disorders in the absence of comorbidity. Most recent guidelines (e.g., American Psychological Association, 2017¹; ISTSS 2018²; NICE 2018³) have not included scoping questions to address issues of comorbidity, and there are no widely accepted guidelines about how to manage and treat such individuals.

In view of these challenges a small expert recommendation committee was established to develop recommendations for the psychological treatment of this comorbidity, with the support of ESTSS, in 2020. This document presents these recommendations and describes the process and methodology used to develop them.

Expert recommendation development process

The committee recognised from the outset that the research literature which might inform the recommendations is still developing and is somewhat limited. Recommendations were developed through two stage process which was circulated to ESTSS members for consultation prior to commencement.

1) Systematic review

The committee developed a number of scoping questions which it sought to address through a systematic review and meta-analyses of available evidence from randomised controlled trials (RCTs). Scoping questions were based on a PICO format (Population, Intervention, Comparator, Outcome) and framed in terms of three overarching questions, which were addressed through several sub-questions, to enable consideration of more specific treatment approaches. From a knowledge of the literature the types of interventions most widely evaluated in the literature were thought to be present focused treatments (also known as coping based/ non-trauma focused treatments), trauma focused treatments and integrated



cognitive restructuring-based interventions (without imaginal and in vivo exposure). The committee also judged that the most usual control comparator in studies evaluating these types of interventions would be treatment for SUD only.

Scoping questions

- 1. For individuals with PTSD and comorbid SUD, do psychological treatments for PTSD or PTSD and SUD when compared to treatment as usual for SUD only result in a clinically important reduction of PTSD and SUD symptoms, reduced presence of disorder, decreased drop-out or difference in reported adverse effects? Example comparisons:
 - a. Are present focused treatments (also known as coping based/ non-trauma focused treatments) plus treatment as usual for SUD more effective than treatment as usual for SUD only?
 - b. Are trauma focused treatments plus treatment as usual for SUD more effective than treatment as usual for SUD only?
 - c. Are integrated cognitive restructuring-based interventions (without imaginal and in vivo exposure) for PTSD and SUD plus treatment as usual for SUD more effective than treatment as usual for SUD?
- 2. For individuals with PTSD and comorbid SUD, do psychological treatments for PTSD and SUD when compared to other psychological treatments for PTSD and SUD (head-to-head comparisons), result in a clinically important reduction of PTSD and SUD symptoms, reduced presence of disorder, decreased drop-out or difference in reported adverse effects? Example comparisons:
 - a. Are trauma focused treatments more effective than present focused treatments?
 - b. Are trauma focused treatments more effective than integrated cognitive restructuring-based interventions (without imaginal and in vivo exposure)?
 - c. Are integrated cognitive restructuring-based interventions (without imaginal and in vivo exposure) more effective than present focused treatments?
- 3. When compared to sequential treatments do integrated treatments offered by one therapist OR simultaneous treatments for PTSD and SUD offered by different therapists result in a clinically important reduction of PTSD and SUD symptoms,



reduced presence of disorder, decreased drop-out or difference in reported adverse effects?

These scoping questions were addressed by updating a previous Cochrane review of psychological interventions for this population⁴. An updated comprehensive search was undertaken in order to identify relevant RCTs published between 1st January 2015 and 13th September 2021.

Inclusion criteria were:

- RCT or cluster randomised trial, including cross-over design
- Studies evaluating one or more psychological intervention aimed at treating PTSD and/ or SUD.
- Eligible comparator interventions: treatment as usual, SUD treatment only, wait list or other psychological intervention comparison condition.
- Participants met formal diagnosis for PTSD using a structured clinical interview
 according to ICD or DSM, OR subthreshold PTSD using predefined subthreshold
 criteria, which include re-experiencing symptoms. A minimum of 60% of participants
 were required to meet full PTSD diagnosis.
- All participants met formal diagnosis for SUD using a structured clinical interview.
- The study included PTSD and/ or SUD outcomes using validated measures
- Studies published in English.

There was no minimum sample size. No restriction based on age. Unpublished studies were eligible for inclusion. Interventions for adults and children were analysed and presented separately. Studies primarily aimed at evaluating PTSD and nicotine dependence were not included. Studies included in the Cochrane Review⁴ and studies excluded on the basis of PTSD diagnostic status were rechecked to ensure that their eligibility status had not changed. Primary outcomes of interest were PTSD severity (giving primacy to clinician administer outcomes), SUD severity (giving primacy to clinician administer outcomes, followed by biological markers, followed by self-report measures) and leaving treatment prematurely (as defined by the study). Other outcomes of interest were PTSD diagnosis, SUD diagnosis and adverse effects.

The search identified 2219 potential new studies, which were assessed against the inclusion criteria agreed by the committee. After screening and full reads of potentially eligible papers



13 new studies were identified, alongside the 14 studies previously identified in the previous Cochrane Review⁴, making 27 studies in total. A full description of the systematic review, meta-analytic findings and identified studies can be found at https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20008198.2022.2041831.

Developing recommendations.

The committee agreed that treatment recommendations would be based on the strength of findings from meta-analyses, and the quality of these findings based on the GRADE approach. The committee developed criteria for considering the clinical importance of findings based on a threshold effect size ≥ 0.4 for PTSD severity and 0.3 for SUD severity for interventions compared against a SUD only/ treatment as usual comparator, and 0.2 for head-to-head comparisons, but decided to take a cautious approach to interpreting the outcomes of analyses, in recognition of the fact that there is no consensus in the literature about such criteria in the PTSD/ SUD population.

Stage 2. The committee recognised that many of the problems and dilemmas faced in the clinical assessment and treatment of this population were unlikely to be adequately addressed from systematic review findings. To complement the recommendations based on systematic review, the committee therefore decided to seek to collate guidance, good practice and consensus recommendations made in trusted, authoritative, methodologically rigorous treatment guidelines and expert guidance publications published in English. These recommendations were reviewed by the committee members prior to inclusion.

The following publications meeting this description were identified by the committee and checked:

- American Psychological Association PTSD Guidelines, 2017¹
- Australian PTSD Guidelines, 2020⁶
- Effective Treatments for PTSD: Practice Guidelines from ISTSS, 2020⁸
- International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies PTSD Guidelines, 2018²
- UK NICE PTSD Guidelines 2018³
- USA Department of Veteran's Affairs/ Department of Defense PTSD Guidelines,
 2017⁷
- World Health Organisation PTSD Guidelines, 2013⁹



RECOMMENDATIONS

Assessment

- 1. Individuals with suspected PTSD require a thorough assessment which should include relevant history, including trauma history, exploration of PTSD feature and related disorders, general psychiatric status, physical health, marital and family situation, social and occupational functioning, quality of life, strengths and resilience, previous treatment and the patients response to this ^{3,6,8}.
- 2. Clinicians assessing and treating individuals with PTSD, should recognise that SUD and other comorbidities are common and should routinely assess for them during the evaluation and treatment for PTSD^{6,7}.
- 3. Clinicians assessing and treating individuals with SUD should recognise that trauma exposure is common in this population and should routinely assess for trauma history and symptoms of PTSD during the evaluation and treatment for SUD⁵.
- 4. Current and past alcohol and drug use patterns for individuals with significant trauma histories or PTSD should be assessed routinely to identify substance misuse or dependency⁷.
- 5. Clinicians should seek to understand the development of PTSD symptoms and drug and alcohol usage over time both pre- and post-traumatic event so that they can fully understand the relationship between traumatic events, symptom development, and maintaining factors to formulate a treatment plan appropriate to the individual's needs⁸.
- 6. Any associated risks should be assessed and addressed in treatment planning⁷. High-risk concerns should normally be the priority for intervention⁸.
- 7. Assessing clinicians should note both the presence and severity of SUD and other comorbidities in their assessments and consider their implications in their treatment plan⁶.
- 8. When PTSD- SUD comorbidity is indicated health care providers should consider whether treatment can be safely and effectively delivered in primary care or a general mental health setting, or whether referral to a specialist service is required⁷.
- 9. Assessment and monitoring should continue throughout treatment and if the individual is not making adequate progress, the clinician should revisit the treatment plan and consider reassessment and reformulation^{6,8}.



Treatment planning

- 10. Clinicians should develop a collaborative care treatment strategy to address comorbid health concerns, such as SUD, simultaneously with PTSD symptoms⁷.
- 11. Information on PTSD and strategies to deal with PTSD symptoms should be provided to individuals with SUD who are seeking to reduce their drug or alcohol use, as PTSD symptoms may worsen during substance abuse treatment due to acute withdrawal or loss of substance use as a coping mechanism⁶. Addressing PTSD early in treatment may help to optimize long-term outcomes⁸.
- 12. Evidence suggests that drug and alcohol misuse should be dealt with alongside the initial control of an individual's PTSD symptoms. This approach recognises that frequent alcohol and drug usage often functions as a form of self-medication which the individual has used to address their PTSD symptoms⁶.
- 13. The presence of co-occurring disorders such as SUD should not prevent or exclude individuals from receiving established evidence-based/ guideline recommended treatments for PTSD and individuals should not be excluded from treatment solely on the basis of comorbid drug or alcohol abuse^{3,7,8}. Readiness to engage in evidence-based treatment should be evaluated on an individual basis⁸.
- 14. For people with PTSD with additional needs, such as alcohol or substance misuse, the treating clinician/ team should help the individual manage any barriers which provide a barrier to them engaging with trauma focused therapies³.
- **15.** For those with complex needs, a case management approach is often required to plan and coordinate a response to primary needs⁸.
- 16. Some individuals may require a period of building a trusting relationship with a clinician, service or team before they are ready to engage in evidence-based treatment⁸.
- 17. Psychoeducation, adapted to the individual's level of understanding, and motivational interventions are often an important part of the engagement process⁸.
- 18. There is evidence that the majority of patients with PTSD-SUD comorbidity prefer integrated treatment⁸.
- 19. There is limited evidence showing the benefits of combined or integrated substance abuse and PTSD treatment for adults ^{5,6}; further studies investigating the differential effects of sequential vs integrated or combined treatments are needed⁵.



- 20. Current evidence for adults is that those receiving combined and integrated treatment make similar progress to those receiving SUD only based interventions in reducing drug and alcohol use⁵.
- 21. Current evidence for adults is that trauma-focused psychological intervention based on prolonged exposure, combined with treatment for SUD is the most effective treatment for PTSD symptoms. However, treatment gains are smaller than they are for individuals without SUD comorbidity and there is a higher level of treatment dropout⁵.
- 22. For adults there is weaker evidence of PTSD symptom improvement from integrated cognitive behavioural therapy, also with a higher level of dop-out than typical seen for individuals without SUD comorbidity⁵.
- 23. Current evidence for adults is that present focused therapies such as Seeking Safety are not more effective than SUD only treatments at improving PTSD symptoms⁵.
- 24. The current evidence base is not yet sufficiently developed to make treatment recommendations for children and young people⁵.
- 25. Integrated and combined trauma focused treatment is usually characterised by psychoeducational and symptom-focussed cognitive behavioural interventions for both disorders prior to the introduction of trauma-focused interventions⁶.
- 26. Patients presenting with co-occurring PTSD-SUD should be offered integrated treatment or evidence-based treatment for PTSD without waiting for abstinence⁸. However, the trauma focused component of treatment should not commence until the individual demonstrates the capacity to manage distress without recourse to substance abuse⁶.
- 27. For individuals with complex needs build in extra time to develop trust with the person, by increasing the duration or number of therapy sessions according to the person's needs³.
- 28. There is evidence from one study that incentivisation with shopping vouchers may reduce drop-out from trauma-focused CBT based intervention⁵.
- 29. Work with the individual to plan any ongoing support they will need after the end of treatment (e.g., residual PTSD symptoms, continuing substance misuse)³.



References

- American Psychological Association (2017) Clinical Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) in Adults, American Psychological Association
- 2. International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies (ISTSS). (2018). ISTSS PTSD Prevention and Treatment Guidelines Methodology and Recommendations. Retrieved from: https://www.istss.org/treating-trauma/new-istssprevention-and-treatment-guidelines.aspx.
- 3. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). (2018). *Post-traumatic stress disorder (NICE Guideline No. 116*). Retrieved from https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/NG116.
- 4. Roberts NP, Roberts PA, Jones N, Bisson JI. Psychological therapies for post-traumatic stress disorder and comorbid substance use disorder. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2016, Issue 4. Art. No.: CD010204. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD010204.pub2.
- Roberts NP, Lotzin A, Schäfer I, (2022) A systematic review and metaanalysis of psychological interventions for comorbid PTSD and substance use disorder, *European Journal of Psychotraumatology*, doi.org/10.1080/20008198.2022.2041831
- 6. Phoenix Australia. (2020). The Australian Guidelines for the Prevention and Treatment of Acute Stress Disorder (ASD), Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) and Complex PTSD. Retrieved from: https://www.phoenixaustralia.org/australian-guidelines-for-ptsd/.
- VA/DoD Clinical Practice Guideline for the Management of Posttraumatic
 Stress Disorder and Acute Stress Disorder
- 8. Roberts NP, Back SE, Mueser KT, & Murray L (2020) Treatment Considerations for PTSD Comorbidities. Chapter In Effective treatments for PTSD: Practice Guidelines from the International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies, Eds Forbes, D., Bisson, J.I., Monson, C. & Berliner, L. 3rd edn New York, NY, US: Guildford Press.
- World Health Organization (2013) Guidelines for the Management of Conditions specifically related to Stress. Geneva: WHO. Retrieved from: 9789241505406 eng.pdf (who.int)





ESTSS Expert recommendation committee

Annett Lotzin

Neil Roberts (Chair)

Ingo Schäfer